

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/782,402	02/19/2004	Kazuyoshi Sakai	9319S-000657	4330	
27572	7590 04/06/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	S, DICKEY & PIERCE,	NGUYEN,	NGUYEN, DUNG T		
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2871		
			DATE MAILED: 04/06/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/782,402	SAKAI ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
,	· 'a	Dung Nguyen	2871				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	iress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in a may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed the mailing date of this cor O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status	·						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ja	2006					
· · —	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	, _						
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
_	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.		•				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16,18,19,21 and 22</u> is/are allowed.						
_	(a)						
7)							
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
	•	r					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CER 1.85(a)							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
·	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		7.0				
	_		(4) (6)				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(a) or (t).				
ار م	 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •		Stago			
			d III tilis National S	staye .			
. * 5	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
			- -				
				•			
Attachment	• •	_					
1) Notice 2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
3) 🔲 Inforn	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		te atent Application (PTO-	·152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) ☐ Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/782,402

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants' amendment dated 01/18/2006 has been received and entered. Claims 1-24 are remain pending in the application.

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 17 and 24 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 17 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Komeno et al., US Patent No. 6,912,036.

The above claims are anticipated by Komeno et al. figure 16 which disclose an electrooptical device (display device) in which a metal film (SD2) having a vertical rising side, an Application/Control Number: 10/782,402 Page 3

Art Unit: 2871

insulating film (PAS), a wiring line (pixel line ITO) disposed over a substrate (SUB1), wherein the side of the metal film (SD2) is exposed from the insulating film (PAS) and connected to the wiring line (ITO) through such side (see figure 16).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 20 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Komeno et al., US Patent No. 6,912,036.

Regarding claims 20 and 23, Komeno et al. disclose the claimed invention as described above except for a based material for the metal film formed by silver alloy, aluminum, aluminum alloy or having a two layer structure of metal. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the Komeno et al. having a double structure and formed by a metal selected from aluminum,, aluminum alloy, silver alloy, since it has been held to be within the; general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for a good conductivity purposes.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 1-16, 18-19 and 21-22 are allowed.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Art Unit: 2871

Init. 2071

None of the prior art of record discloses or suggests alone or in combination that an electro-optical device comprising a combination of various elements as claimed more specifically at least a partial region of the wiring pattern connecting a mounting terminal includes a metal film having a side rising in a substantially vertical direction, exposing from the inorganic insulating film and contacting to the conductive film extended from the corresponding region at the vertical side as set forth in claim 1 and 9.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-2297. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on 571-272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DN 04/03/2006

Dung Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2871